1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 7 GEOFFREY R. LAWSON, NO: 2:15-CV-184-RMP 8 Plaintiff, ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION 9 FOR RELIEF FROM SUMMARY v. JUDGMENT 10 **BRENT CARNEY: MARK** MURPHY; P.A. SABATINO; A.C. KNEI; and CHAPLIN LUCE, 11 12 Defendants. 13 14 BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff Geoffrey Lawson's Motion for Relief from Summary Judgment, ECF No. 140. Mr. Lawson appealed the Court's Order 15 16 and Judgment, ECF Nos. 134 and 135, in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. ECF 17 No. 136. He subsequently filed a motion for a limited remand in that court, and the 18 Ninth Circuit issued an Order. See ECF No. 147. In its order, the Ninth Circuit 19 stays briefing until February 12, 2018, and directs the appellant to indicate in his 20 opening brief, or in a status report, "whether the district court has made an indicative 21 ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM SUMMARY

JUDGMENT ~ 1

ruling regarding appellant's motion for relief from judgment." ECF No. 147 (citing Fed. R. App. F. 12.1).

"The filing of a notice of appeal divests the district court of jurisdiction." *Gould v. Mut. Life Ins. Co. of N.Y.*, 790 F.2d 769, 772 (9th Cir. 1986). Although the Court does not have jurisdiction over motions filed by Mr. Lawson before this Court after he filed his Notice of Appeal in the Ninth Circuit, the Court has reviewed Mr. Lawson's Motion for Relief from Summary Judgment, ECF No. 140, and notes that Mr. Lawson appears to be predicating his motion on the basis that he had requested discovery through a public disclosure process, but that the Airway Heights Correctional Center (AHCC) refused to allow the disclosed material to be given to him in custody, because some of the information, such as the medical records of other prisoners, is prohibited. ECF No. 140 at 3 (citing Appendix A at ECF No. 140 at 8).

Mr. Lawson argues that he could have successfully defended against

Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment if he had been given access to all of the
materials that he had requested either through the discovery process or through the
public disclosure process. *Id.* at 4. Alternatively, he argues that new evidence has
been discovered about contaminated water at the AHCC. *Id.* Mr. Lawson also
appears to argue that, had he been granted leave to amend his complaint to include
all relevant parties and received the discovery that he requested, he could have

survived summary judgment. *Id.* at 6. Therefore, Mr. Lawson argues that he should be relieved of this Court's Order granting summary judgment. ECF No. 140.

Mr. Lawson previously raised his concerns regarding the discovery process, both to Magistrate Judge Mary Dimke, who drafted the Report and Recommendation to this Court, and again to this Court in his objections to the Report and Recommendation. In its order granting summary judgment, this Court addressed his concerns by acknowledging that "Mr. Lawson raised several discovery issues in support of his objections to granting Defendants' summary judgment motions." ECF No. 134 at 28 (citations omitted). The Magistrate Judge further noted that it had several hearings regarding discovery issues and that Mr. Lawson was given renewed opportunities to conduct discovery and that continued delay was not appropriate. ECF No. 127 at 41. The Court agreed with the Magistrate Judge and determined that summary judgment was appropriate.

Regarding Mr. Lawson's concerns about potentially contaminated water, the Court noted that issue was not relevant to Mr. Lawson's current lawsuit. ECF No. 134 at 31. Therefore, any new information or evidence about contaminated water at the AHCC would not be a basis for altering this Court's order on summary judgment about nonrelated issues.

Mr. Lawson indicates that he should be allowed to amend his complaint and argues that he was "never provided the opportunity to amend his complaint even once." ECF No. 140 at 6. Mr. Lawson did not file any motions for leave to amend. ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM SUMMARY JUDGMENT ~ 3

ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM SUMMARY

JUDGMENT ~ 4